
Public Submissions on the invocation of the Emergencies Act

trevor holsworth <fundamentaljustice@gmail.com>
To: perspectives@poec-cedu.gc.ca

Sat, Oct 22, 2022 at 12:47 PM

Thank you for the opportunity to submit evidence regarding the constitutional validity for the invocation of the Emergencies Act.

I believe that these communications played a role in the Government's decision to invoke the Emergencies Act.

The PM's office knows that his AG / MOJ David Lametti is refusing to respond to the Enforcement Procedure of the Canadian Charter s 24(1).

The Parliamentary Ethics Commission has been informed and has accepted the complaint, although now refuses to respond to further inquiries as to the progress of their investigation.

The PM's office when informed of the breach forwarded my email to the Minister of Justice, who, in his response, made false and misleading statements regarding his duties to the public and has refused further communication. When the PM's office was informed of this situation they forwarded the email to the Minister of Public Safety Marco Mendicino, who has not responded.

When I reported the situation to the RCMP anti-corruption squad National Division Intake Unit which has the "mandate to safeguard and investigate significant threats to Canada's political, economic and social integrity" concerning complaints about "federally elected members of Parliament". However when I reported that the MOJ was failing to respond to a Charter complaint to have judicial conduct examined by Parliament and provided them a copy of my Charter complaint, they wrote "any future communications...unless solicited...will not be reviewed and will be destroyed"

I attempted to communicate the situation to the House of Commons committee on Justice and Human Rights but a clerk at that committee saw fit to delete the comments from being submitted which is decidedly undemocratic. A single public servant preventing the legitimate debate before the People's House is an affront to Canadians and Parliament and effects the integrity of the Public Service, Ministerial and MP responsibilities. It is also probably illegal as an obstruction of justice.

When I presented this evidence before the Judiciary and requested a writ of mandamus for the MOJ to comply with his duty to enforce the law and ensure that the administration of Government is in compliance with the law, they refused to respond and refused to allow debate on the matter.

I attach the evidence to this correspondence. There is a great deal more but this will do for now.

Yours sincerely,

Trevor Holsworth

Attachments:

1. The brief for the Parliamentary Justice and Human Rights Committee
2. Complaint submitted to the Parliamentary Ethics Commissioner
3. Emails Communications with the Parliamentary Ethics Commissioner
4. Communications with the PM's office March 4th, 2022
5. Communications with the PM's office July 28th, 2022
6. Communications with the PM's office August 22nd, 2022
7. Notice of Constitutional Question presented to Court July 16th, 2021

7 attachments


 **briefforparliamentCOMPILATIONforWEBSITE.pdf**
53K

 **EthicsCommissionerMOJComplaint.pdf**
52K

 **EmailCommunicationswithETHICSCommissionerDION.pdf**
139K

 **Gmail - Office of the Prime Minister _ July 28-2022.pdf**
74K

 **Gmail - Office of the Prime Minister _ August 22-2022.pdf**
75K

 **Gmail - Office of the Prime Minister _ ref to MOJ & MPS March 4, 2022.pdf**
82K

 **NoticeOfConstitutionalQuestion.pdf**
63K