

Contribution to Standing Committee on the Status of Women.

Abuse is a cycle. It is incorrect factually to assert that it is gender based and is perpetuated exclusively upon women by men. Until this false representation of the problem is resolved there will be no progress because abuse perpetuates abuse.

The only time I have ever experienced a feeling of uncontrolled rage is after being subject to the abuse of the family court system. In my experience the Plaintiff's lawyer had failed to comply with a court order to provide monthly trust account statements and created a fraudulent court order. I had provided the transcript of the hearing to the court to conclusively prove the lawyers fraud but the Judge merely called upon the Plaintiff, a woman, and requested she commit perjury to protect her lawyer. The Canadian Judicial Council approved of the conduct of the Judge and the BC Law Society approved of the conduct of the lawyer by removing evidence from their file the same way they redacted documents in the court file to hide their obstruction of justice.

This is the experience men have in the court system. How do you think men feel? Does this abuse create love and respect for the holy bond of matrimony and create safety for the family and stability for our children? Where does this fit with the "best interests of the child?"

When a woman threatens divorce or separation and goes to a lawyer as she is advised to do to 'protect her rights' how do you think men feel. The courts are a direct threat to everything a man seeks to protect, his children, personal security and finances to provide a stable home. Men are often jailed as a result of interactions with the justice system, and are certainly accused of all sorts of things to gain a tactical advantage in a biased court room. How do you think men feel about false accusations by women for financial gain over men? When accusations are proven to be false there is no consequences for the accuser, the damage is done. Families ruined, children devastated. That is not justice. That is legalized abuse and is used largely for coercive control of men by women.

This problem is before the courts and before the Minister of Justice. The Minister of Justice has refused to respond to the enforcement mechanisms of the charter to bring attention to this matter to Parliament. That is obstruction of justice. The courts have been informed and delayed providing a date for a decision for 3 months and then the Judge just didn't show up to court.

When I posted this information on the internet feminists responded claiming I felt "victimized because you are a white male, the most privileged cohort in our society. Basically it's time for white males to shut the fuck up, step back and begin to learn."

Of course my response was "what I hear you saying is that women should dictate to men what to do, when to do it, and how to do it. That a man's voice has no value because it is male. That does not say 'equality' in any shape or form."

I know that my message does not fit your narrative and you do not want to hear what I and other men have to say. That is a problem as well. Funding to represent men's rights is zero. The message that is being sent to society is that men do not matter and that equality is not the desired outcome. Of course you are going to get resentment as a result. What do you think is the solution? "Kill all men?" or lock them all up because women say so. Welcome to the New World Order. You reap what you sow. Sincere efforts to rebuild trust and love between men and women is what is required. That is not happening right now. The court system is being abused by lawyers to divide men and women for their own personal profits and our society and particularly our children are suffering as a result. There are no winners in this scenario despite claims of hollow victories.

The Charter claims that the Government guarantees equality between men and women. My suggestion is that the sex of the participants in court in private and public disputes is not disclosed to ensure that judicial bias on the basis of sex is not a factor. Unfortunately this committee is displaying blatant sexism in it's opening lines including "toxic masculinity". I would recommend using gender neutral language to avoid offending the requirements of the Charter, or you could include an equal component for "toxic feminism". This bias is a major factor in creating division between the amazing contribution men and women make to

the success of their children, our Canadian culture and our society. Labelling men in such derisive way is certainly no way to facilitate healing in our society but will only marginalize half of the population, but maybe that's the purpose but I'm here telling you that it is unconstitutional and is against human rights accords nationally and internationally and will result in a continuation of human rights abuses.

You may, or may not be interested in viewing more of the facts and legal argument that I introduce here and it is available at [www.fundamentaljustice.com](http://www.fundamentaljustice.com)

Thank you for taking the time to hear my voice of concern.

Trevor Holsworth

Association for Equality on the Basis of Sex.